

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/501,538	07/16/2004	Martin Edward Pickford	PICKFORD-15651	6357	
25628 LAW OFFICE	7590 01/16/200 S OF WILLIAM H. HO	EXAMINER			
12311 HARBOR DRIVE			PRONE, CHRISTOPHER D		
WOODBRIDG	GE, VA 22192		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3738		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/16/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/501,538	PICKFORD ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
CHRISTOPHER D. PRONE	3738		

	CHRISTOPHER D. PRONE	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provision of 37 CPR 11 1364, in no event, however, may a rapty be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within this set or extended period for reply will be privated above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within this set or extended period for reply will by statute, cause the application to become ABANONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  and add after the majoritum of the set of the						
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b) This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>44-52</u> is/are pending in the application	2					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>44-52</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement					
5/ <u></u> and 545 <b>j</b> and 545	o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>						
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li></ol>						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/28/08.	6) Other:	atom Py product				

Art Unit: 3738

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11/28/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant provides extensive arguments reiterating the point that there is a large difference in the concentrations of silver ions absorbed between the current application and the Rosenberg Patent. The applicant then cancelled all the pending claims and replaced them with a whole new set to better describe the invention.

However this new set of claims is very similar except they now require that the ions are an equivalent to an aver age surface loading of less than 73 ug/cm^2. After extensive arguments about the concentrations of the art of record being to low the applicant has amended to require that the invention have a low concentration. This is clearly not convincing to overcome the art of record. The applicant is advised to put this high concentration including actual numerical values within the independent claims

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 44, 45, 47-49, 51, and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Rosenberg et al USPN 5,185,075.

Application/Control Number: 10/501,538

Art Unit: 3738

Rosenberg et al discloses an implant comprising a metal substrate that is anodized in phosphoric acid (col. 3, lines 35-39), forming a surface layer comprising a metal phosphate. Silver nitrate is then added to the solution thus forming silver phosphate (col. 5, lines 57-63) and consequently some silver phosphate in the titanium phosphate coating. These steps as disclosed by Rosenberg et al are essentially the same steps disclosed on page 5, lines 1-23 of the present specification, and therefore produce the claimed product. Please note that product by process claims are NOT limited to the manipulations of the recited steps, only to the structure implied by the steps. Therefore method steps such as "wherein the surface of the implant is highly polished before provision of the surface layer" are given limited weight because the structure of the product is the same as claimed.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 46 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rosenberg et al USPN 5,185,075.

Rosenberg as described above discloses the same invention, but fails to specifically recite that the surface layer is effective for at least 6 weeks. However since the term "effective" is a very broad parameter and is not specifically defined by the

Application/Control Number: 10/501,538

Art Unit: 3738

specification the examiner is viewing this limitation as having any positive effect upon an infection. Therefore since the implant of Rosenberg comprises the same chemical elements it is obvious that the surface will be effective in suppressing infection for at least 6 weeks.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher D. Prone whose telephone number is (571) 272-6085. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Fri 8:30 to 5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/501,538

Art Unit: 3738

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Christopher D Prone Examiner Art Unit 3738

/Christopher D Prone/

/Corrine M McDermott/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3738